Concordância Intra- e Inter-Observador entre Diferentes Métodos de Medição da Circunferência da Coxa

**Resumo**

*Introdução:* Avaliar a reprodutibilidade intra e inter-observador na medição da circunferência da coxa utilizando diferentes referências anatômicas.

**Material e Métodos:** Vinte e cinco voluntários sem antecedentes de patologia ou cirurgia no membro inferior dominante entraram no estudo. As medições foram realizadas por dois avaliadores independentes, em duas ocasiões, com um intervalo de uma semana. A ordem das medições e dos participantes foram randomizadas. Os resultados das medidas intercalares foram ocultados, sendo analisados por um terceiro investigador. O protocolo de avaliação foi definido previamente. A correlação intra e inter-examinadores foi determinada pelo *intraclass correlation coefficient* (ICC). Os limites de concordância foram estabelecidos de acordo com o método de Bland and Altman.

**Resultados:** O coeficiente de correlação na reprodutibilidade intra-observador foi elevado (SPP ICC 0,96, KJL ICC 0,95, ASIS ICC 0,96). Nos resultados inter-observador os limites de concordância foram: SPP ICC 0,91 (IC 95%: 0,79–0,96), KJL ICC 0,94 (IC 95%: 0,86–0,97), ASIS ICC 0,90 (IC 95%: 0,77–0,95).

**Conclusão:** Todos os métodos apresentaram alta reprodutibilidade intra e inter-observador, que pela simplicidade do método de medição poderá favorecer a escolha do pólo superior da rótula na ausência de patologia no segmento anatômico avaliado.
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**Abstract**

*Introduction:* Evaluate the intra- and interrater reliability in the thigh circumference measurement using different anatomical references.

*Material and Methods:* Twenty five volunteers without history of pathology or surgery in the dominant leg entered in the study. The measurements were performed by two independent evaluators, on two occasions with an interval of one week. The measurements and participants order were randomized. The results of the interim measures were concealed, being analyzed by a third investigator. The assessment protocol was previously defined. The intra- and inter-rater correlation was measured through the *intraclass correlation coefficient* (ICC). The limits of agreement were established in accordance with the method of Bland and Altman.

*Results:* The intraclass agreement in intrarater reproducibility was high (SPP ICC 0.96, KJL ICC 0.95, ASIS ICC 0.96). In the interrater results the limits of agreement were: SPP ICC 0.91 (IC 95%: 0.79–0.96), KJL ICC 0.94 (IC 95%: 0.86–0.97), ASIS ICC 0.90 (IC 95%: 0.77–0.95).

*Conclusion:* All methods presented high intra- and interrater reliability, which by the simplicity of the measurement method may favor the choice for upper pole of the patella in the absence of pathology in anatomical segment evaluated.
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**Introduction**

Thigh muscle mass estimation is commonly used as a surrogate for muscle structural and functional characteristics in both clinical and non-clinical (sports) settings, amongst other common anthropometric features such as thickness of skin folds, length of limbs, body fat and body mass index. Methods for thigh muscle mass estimation range from most accurate costly options such as magnetic resonance, computed tomography and DEXA (“dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry”) to simpler readily available methods such as manual measurement using different anatomical reference points.

Thigh circumference measurement is an essential component of musculoskeletal examination both to assist diagnosis and to monitor success of rehabilitation treatments, although correlation to both structural (muscle cross sectional area) and functional (muscle power and strength) could be surprisingly low. The most common reference points used are the medial knee joint line, the anterior tibial tuberosity or the superior pole of the patella, which are used interchangeably according to the examiner’s preference and experience. Like any other measurement method, the intra- and interrater reliability are crucial to allow comparisons overtime and between different observers.

The authors sought to assess and compare the intra- and interrater reliability in thigh circumference measurements, using different anatomical references according to three methods: 10 cm above the upper pole of the patella (SPP) versus 15 cm above the medial knee joint line (KJL) versus transition point between the lower and the upper two-thirds of the distance between the anterior superior iliac spine and the anterior tibial tuberosity (ASIS).

**Material and Methods**

Participants: A consecutive sample of 25 healthy volunteers were recruited amongst patients and coworkers not directly involved in the study. A structured questionnaire regarding socio-demographic and clinical data, including musculoskeletal complaints involving the lower limbs, was applied by a trained interviewer. Eligibility criteria for the study included: age ≥ 18 years, adequate cognitive skills to answer the questionnaire, and, no history of recent pain (last 6 months), major trauma, fracture or surgery in the dominant leg.

Procedures: measurements were performed on two different occasions, one week apart, by two independent examiners blinded to interim measurements. Both order of participants and measurements were randomly assigned according to a computer generated sequence. The average of three measurements was recorded for each location. Each examiner received a three-hour training period according to a predefined protocol to standardize procedures among evaluators. Measurements were made on the dominant leg using non-extensible plastic tape marked to the millimeter, applied in full contact with the skin, uniform tension and perpendicular to the long axis of the member. Subjects laid supine with the knee relaxed in full extension, upper and lower limbs in contact with the examination table and all reported this position as comfortable. Three reference surface landmarks for thigh girth measurement were identified and marked using a water-soluble pen and removed between each assessment (Fig.1):

- 10 cm above the upper pole of the patella (SPP) identified through manual palpation;
- 15 cm above medial knee joint line (KJL): the medial knee joint line was identified with the hip flexed to 45º and externally rotated and knee in 90º flexion;
- transition point between the lower and the upper two-thirds of the distance between the anterior superior iliac spine and the anterior tibial tuberosity (ASIS), identified through manual palpation.

![Figure 1 A - 10 cm above the upper pole of the patella (SPP)](image)

![Figure 1 B1 - Determination of medial knee joint line (KJL)](image)
Since our aim was to use the study information for general application in clinical practice the ICC equation was chosen.\textsuperscript{12} ICC values range from 0 (no agreement) to 1 (total agreement) and values above 0.90 suggest excellent agreement, 0.75-0.89 moderate agreement and below 0.75 poor agreement.\textsuperscript{11} We used the Bland Altman method for plotting the difference against the mean of two measurements to allow visual judgment of any systematic error. Limits of agreement were calculated and presented as mean $1.96 \pm \times$ standard deviation of the difference between measurements.\textsuperscript{11} According to the method suggested by Zou GY,\textsuperscript{14} using a previous pilot study to determine the ICC 95% confidence intervals, we estimated that 25 participants would be required to ensure that the half width of a 95% two-sided confidence interval for the ICC would be no greater than 0.15 with an 80% assurance probability.

Results

Study sample subjects were mostly male and showed a wide distribution regarding age and anthropometric characteristics (Table 1). We found a good to excellent intrarater agreement in all 3 measurements methods (Table 2) in both raters (ICC $\geq 0.90$). ICC values within each rater were remarkably similar, independently of anatomical location of measurement. On the other hand, the interrater reliability was also good to excellent, with ICC ranging from 0.90 to 0.94 for ASIS and KJL, respectively (Table 3). However, absolute differences between raters were noticeable with 95% of values falling within -3.37 cm and +2.59 cm, -1.99 cm and 2.08 cm for ASIS and KJL, respectively.

Visual inspection of the Bland-Altman plots showed differences did not vary in any systematic way over the range of measurement, hence there no systematic bias was seen even for the most extreme observations (Fig. 2).

Table 1: Demographic data of 25 subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Range (min.-max.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F), n</td>
<td>19/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), average (SD)</td>
<td>48.3 (17.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm), average (SD)</td>
<td>168.59 (9.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg), average (SD)</td>
<td>74.17 (13.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m2), average (SD)</td>
<td>26.02 (3.63)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD - standard deviation; BMI - body mass index.
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Table 2: Intrarater correlation coefficients for the different locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Evaluator 1 (T1)</th>
<th>Evaluator 1 (T2)</th>
<th>Evaluator 2 (T1)</th>
<th>Evaluator 2 (T2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average (SD)</td>
<td>44.62(3.23)</td>
<td>44.79(3.29)</td>
<td>44.84(3.01)</td>
<td>44.55(2.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICC, (95%CI)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.92-0.98)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.92 (0.82-0.96)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KJL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average (SD)</td>
<td>44.64(2.98)</td>
<td>44.87(3.08)</td>
<td>44.60(2.85)</td>
<td>44.40(2.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICC, (95%CI)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.90-0.98)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.91 (0.80-0.96)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASIS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average (SD)</td>
<td>44.24(3.49)</td>
<td>44.09(3.34)</td>
<td>44.64(3.20)</td>
<td>44.26(3.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICC, (95%CI)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.92-0.98)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.90 (0.76-0.95)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICC - intraclass correlation coefficient; SPP - 10 cm above the upper pole of the patella; KJL - 15 cm above medial knee joint line; ASIS - union point of the 1/3 distal to the proximal two thirds of the distance between anterior superior iliac spine and anterior tibial tuberosity; T1 - first assessment; T2 - second assessment.

Table 3: Interrater correlation coefficients and limits of agreement for the different locations

|            | ICC    | ICC-95%CI | Mean diff (d) | SDiff (SD) | LOA_(_d +/-1.96*SD_)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPP</strong></td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.79-0.96</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>-2.87; 2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KJL</strong></td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.86-0.97</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>-1.99; 2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASIS</strong></td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.77-0.95</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>-3.37; 2.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICC - intraclass correlation coefficient; LOA - limits of agreement; SPP - 10 cm above the upper pole of the patella; KJL - 15 cm above medial knee joint line; ASIS - union point of the 1/3 distal to the proximal two thirds of the distance between anterior superior iliac spine and anterior tibial tuberosity.

Figure 2 A - Analysis with Bland and Altman method (average measurements versus the average of the differences). A- superior pole of patella (SPP)

Figure 2 B - Analysis with Bland and Altman method (average measurements versus the average of the differences). B- medial knee joint line (KJL)
Discussion

The authors founded a high intra and interrater agreement for measuring thigh circumference, irrespective of measurement method, although absolute differences are considerable and must be taken into account for clinical and investigational purposes.

High agreements do not necessarily reflect clinically acceptable absolute differences between measurements. The study from Maylia et al., using several raters and comparing thigh measurements 10 cm above the top of the patella, found average differences between measurements of 4.0 cm and 3.5 cm for inter and intrarrater comparisons, respectively. The authors study showed mean differences between raters of 0.04 to 0.39 cm with 95% measurements lying within 3.37 cm range of variation. This higher agreement might be explained by lower variation due to a more restricted number of raters and standardization of measurement protocols and intensive training in measurement techniques which might have reduced measurement bias.¹⁰

Limitations of manual limb circumference measurements interpretation could be patient-dependent (weight and body fat changes, hydration state, collaboration) and examiner-dependent factors (measuring technique and protocol, clinical experience). This study has limitations to be considered when interpreting study results: study sample subjects were mostly male (76%), eligibility criteria restricted the study sample to healthy volunteers affecting external validity and extrapolation of results for other populations, including clinical use in patients. Large confidence intervals for the ICC possibly indicate suboptimal sample size for the purpose intended. On the other hand, randomization of patients and evaluation sequence, concealment of raters for measurement results, the standardization of measurement procedures and the heterogeneity of participants concerning age and gender are methodological strengths which assure sufficient internal validity of study results.

Conclusion

All methods presented high intra- and interrater reproducibility, whereby the simplicity of the measurement method may favor the choice for SPP in the absence of pathology in anatomical segment evaluated. Further research is needed to assess agreement of different manual measurement methods with structural and functional status of muscle, namely through imaging techniques.
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